27 April 1979

STAT

STAT

STAT

Classification Review Group SUBJECT: Inclusion of Mandatory Review Actions in DARE System 1. CRG agrees with that there are no insurmountable problems involved in incorporating mandatory review actions in the DARE system. Following our discussion with we drafted a procedure for handling such material and forwarded a copy to him to be sure we were on the same wavelength. A copy of our draft is attached so that you can see how we propose to handle the input. Basically, the only differences between mandatory review input and that of regular systematic review is that we will use a job number of our own devising and the employee number of the person outside CRG who actually reviewed the document. We would, of course, have to have the document itself temporarily in order to extract the
l. CRG agrees with that there are no insurmountable problems involved in incorporating mandatory review actions in the DARE system. Following our discussion with we drafted a procedure for handling such material and forwarded a copy to him to be sure we were on the same wavelength. A copy of our draft is attached so that you can see how we propose to handle the input. Basically, the only differences between mandatory review input and that of regular systematic review is that we will use a job number of our own devising and the employee number of the person outside CRG who actually reviewed the document. We would, of course, have
problems involved in incorporating mandatory review actions in the DARE system. Following our discussion with we drafted a procedure for handling such material and forwarded a copy to him to be sure we were on the same wavelength. A copy of our draft is attached so that you can see how we propose to handle the input. Basically, the only differences between mandatory review input and that of regular systematic review is that we will use a job number of our own devising and the employee number of the person outside CRG who actually reviewed the document. We would, of course, have
other information that goes into the system.
2. As noted in the attached, we will enter into the DARE system only those documents that have been either declassified or maintained in classified status. Documents released in sanitized form would be of no value in the DARE system, and their inclusion might perhaps lead to errors later.
3. One minor problem, addressed by in paragraph 3 of his memo, is that the DARE system is intended to record action on permanent documents only. We would, therefore, consult the appropriate RMO concerning the status of the document before entering it in the DARE system.
Attachment: a/s



18 April 1979

MEMORANDUM FOR:		TAT
FROM:	ODP Representative to ISAS	1111
SUBJECT:	Mandatory Review	
of discussing CF Agency Mandatory	ISAS/CRG personnel on 17 April 1978 for the purpose RG's requirements for documents which come under the y review program. CRG has requested a method be developed atory review documents in the current on-going n and Review (DARE) Computer System.	
mandatory review source of the dopermanent docume where as by Agency composed would then prepas a mandatory	mandatory review documents will be forwarded to CRG soments after declassification has taken place. CRG ware an input coding sheet identifing the document review item and indicating the individual, external to med the declassification action. All other data would mat and content to that data recorded for any 20 year	'AT
easily be accommand are permanent d	of mandatory review documents in the DARE system can uplished and is practical from the standpoint that they documents which one day will be input to the data base ionally, they will reside in the data base with all eclassification actions and be addressable as a separate ired.	
I would es in the DARE sys	stimate the manpower to include mandatory review items stem software to be something less than one man hour.	TAT
		IA.